

Dynamics of Early Childhood Education in Taiwan: A Comparative Study of Traditional and Innovative Approaches

Erma Damayanti¹, Andi Fitriani Djollong², Asfahani³, Joni Wilson Sitopu⁴, Uma Shankar Yadav⁵

¹ Universitas Tama Jagakarsa, Indonesia

² Universitas Muhammadiyah Parepare, Indonesia

³ Institut Agama Islam Sunan Giri Ponorogo, Indonesia

⁴ Universitas Simalungun, Indonesia

⁵ Motilal Nehru National Institute of Technology Allahabad Prayagraj, India

*Correspondence e-mail: erma_damayanti@ymail.com

Article history

Submitted: 2024/01/18; Revised: 2024/02/14; Accepted: 2024/03/24

Abstract

This comparative study delves into the dynamics of early childhood education (ECE) in Taiwan, examining the coexistence and interaction between traditional and innovative pedagogical approaches. Through qualitative research methods, including interviews, focus groups, and participant observations, insights were gathered from key stakeholders in Taiwanese ECE settings. Findings reveal that traditional approaches, rooted in Confucian values, emphasize academic readiness and teacher-directed instruction, while innovative methodologies prioritize holistic development and child-centered learning experiences. Contextual factors such as socio-economic influences and cultural traditions significantly shape the adoption and effectiveness of these approaches. The study underscores the importance of a balanced approach that integrates the strengths of both traditional and innovative methods to optimize early childhood learning experiences. These insights have implications for policy-making, curriculum development, and professional development initiatives to enhance the quality and effectiveness of early childhood education in Taiwan.

Keywords

Dynamics; Early Childhood Education; Traditional and Innovative Approaches



© 2024 by the authors. This is an open-access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license, <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>.

1. INTRODUCTION

Early Childhood Education (ECE) is universally recognized as a critical phase in a child's development, laying the foundation for future academic success, socio-emotional well-being, and lifelong learning. In Taiwan, the significance of ECE is underscored by its commitment to providing quality education from the earliest stages

of a child's life (Bao et al., 2018; S. C. Chen, 2020). This commitment is evident in Taiwan's robust educational system, which has garnered international attention for its emphasis on academic excellence and innovation (Cai & Ding, 2017; Gao et al., 2015). Against this backdrop, the dynamics of early childhood education in Taiwan are shaped by a complex interplay of traditional values, cultural heritage, educational philosophies, and contemporary trends in global education.

The evolution of early childhood education in Taiwan can be traced back to the early 20th century when efforts were made to establish formalized preschool education. Initially, these efforts were modest, with preschools mainly serving as daycare centers rather than educational institutions (Aldiab et al., 2019; Millner, 2021). However, as Taiwan underwent rapid industrialization and economic development in the latter half of the 20th century, the importance of early childhood education became increasingly recognized (Rajadurai et al., 2018; Rajalakshmi et al., 2022). Confucian values have historically influenced Taiwanese society, including its approach to education (Z. Chen et al., 2021; Rohman et al., 2023). Respect for authority, filial piety, and the pursuit of academic excellence are deeply ingrained in the cultural fabric of Taiwan. Consequently, traditional pedagogical approaches in early childhood education have often reflected these values, emphasizing discipline, obedience, and rote memorization.

In recent decades, however, a discernible shift has been towards more child-centered approaches to early childhood education in Taiwan. This shift has been influenced by progressive educational philosophies such as Montessori, Reggio Emilia, and Waldorf, which prioritize the child's holistic development and emphasize experiential learning, exploration, and creativity (Kolb & Kolb, 2018; Matriano, 2020). This transition reflects a broader global trend towards recognizing the importance of child agency and play-based learning in ECE.

The Taiwanese government has proactively promoted early childhood education and implemented policy reforms to enhance its quality and accessibility. Initiatives such as the Early Childhood Education and Care Act and the 12-Year Basic Education Program have underscored the government's commitment to providing equitable and high-quality early childhood education for all children in Taiwan (Putranta & Wilujeng, 2019; Waham et al., 2023). Challenges persist despite the progress made in advancing early childhood education in Taiwan. Disparities in access to quality ECE services between urban and rural areas, the need for professional development opportunities for early childhood educators, and the demand for culturally responsive pedagogy are among the sector's key challenges. However, these challenges also

present opportunities for innovation, collaboration, and continuous improvement in early childhood education practices.

Against this backdrop, this comparative study aims to explore the dynamics of early childhood education in Taiwan, particularly focusing on traditional and innovative pedagogical approaches (Măță Liliana et al., 2023; Mundiri & Hamimah, 2022). By examining the strengths, weaknesses, and implications of these approaches, this research seeks to contribute to a deeper understanding of the complexities of early childhood education in Taiwan and inform future policy decisions, curriculum development, and professional practices in the field (Mogale & Malatji, 2022; Putri & Putri, 2020). Additionally, the study aims to generate insights that can inform broader discussions on educational reform and optimizing learning experiences for young children in Taiwan and beyond.

Given the juxtaposition of traditional and innovative approaches within Taiwanese early childhood education, this comparative study aims to explore the dynamics shaping the sector. By examining the strengths, weaknesses, and implications of both approaches, this research seeks to provide insights that can inform policy decisions, curriculum development, and teaching practices in the field of ECE (Abidin & Murtadlo, 2020; Falloon, 2020; Franco et al., 2019; Matkovic et al., 2014; Wijngaards-de Meij & Merx, 2018). Furthermore, the study aims to contribute to broader discussions on educational reform and optimizing learning experiences for young children in Taiwan and beyond. While numerous studies have examined either traditional or innovative approaches to early childhood education in various cultural settings, more research is needed to compare these approaches within the Taiwanese educational landscape systematically. Existing literature tends to focus on traditional methods deeply entrenched in Confucian values or emerging innovative methodologies influenced by Western educational philosophies (Obloberdiyevna D S, 2022; Sain et al., 2022).

Consequently, more comprehensive studies that explore the coexistence, interaction, and impact of both traditional and innovative approaches within Taiwanese ECE settings need to be conducted. Moreover, within the limited body of research addressing Taiwanese ECE, studies often need more in-depth analysis of the socio-cultural, economic, and institutional factors shaping the adoption and efficacy of different pedagogical methods. Understanding these contextual influences is crucial for developing nuanced insights into the dynamics of ECE in Taiwan and informing evidence-based policy decisions and educational practices.

The novelty of this study lies in its comparative approach, which seeks to bridge the research gap by systematically examining the dynamics of early childhood education in Taiwan through the lens of both traditional and innovative pedagogical

paradigms. By conducting a comparative analysis, this research aims to uncover the unique strengths, weaknesses, and contextual factors associated with each approach, thereby offering valuable insights into their coexistence and interaction within the Taiwanese ECE landscape. Furthermore, this study seeks to contribute novel perspectives by exploring the implications of traditional and innovative approaches for various stakeholders, including educators, parents, policymakers, and society. By elucidating the socio-cultural, economic, and institutional dynamics shaping ECE practices in Taiwan, this research informs more holistic and contextually relevant strategies for optimizing early childhood learning experiences and outcomes. In essence, the research gap addressed, and the novelty offered by this comparative study lies in its comprehensive examination of the dynamics of early childhood education in Taiwan, specifically focusing on the interplay between traditional and innovative pedagogical approaches and their implications for educational policy and practice.

The research aims to compare traditional and innovative approaches to early childhood education in Taiwan, elucidating their strengths, weaknesses, and contextual factors influencing their adoption. By providing insights into the dynamics of early childhood education in Taiwan, the study seeks to inform policy decisions, curriculum development, and teaching practices, ultimately enhancing the quality and effectiveness of early childhood learning experiences. The expected impact includes fostering a more nuanced understanding of ECE dynamics, facilitating the implementation of evidence-based practices, and contributing to broader discussions on educational reform in Taiwan and globally.

2. METHODS

Utilizing qualitative research methods, this study on the Dynamics of Early Childhood Education in Taiwan: A Comparative Study of Traditional and Innovative Approaches employs in-depth interviews, focus group discussions, and participant observations to gather rich and detailed insights from key stakeholders, including educators, parents, policymakers, and early childhood education experts. Through purposive sampling, a diverse range of participants representing different regions, educational backgrounds, and perspectives are selected to ensure comprehensive coverage of the topic. The qualitative data collected are analyzed thematically, allowing for the identification of recurring patterns, emerging themes, and nuanced variations in the implementation and impact of traditional and innovative pedagogical approaches in Taiwanese early childhood education settings (Miles et al., 2018). Moreover, the qualitative findings are triangulated with documentary analysis of educational policies, curriculum documents, and relevant scholarly literature to

provide additional context and depth to the study. This triangulation enhances the credibility and trustworthiness of the research findings, ensuring that the conclusions drawn accurately reflect the complexities and nuances of the dynamics of early childhood education in Taiwan. This qualitative inquiry aims to offer valuable insights into the interplay between traditional and innovative approaches, inform evidence-based decision-making in educational policy and practice, and contribute to the ongoing discourse on educational reform and improvement in Taiwan's early childhood education sector.

3. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The comparative study on the Dynamics of Early Childhood Education in Taiwan reveals several significant findings regarding the coexistence and impact of traditional and innovative approaches within the Taiwanese ECE landscape. Through qualitative analysis encompassing interviews, focus group discussions, and participant observations, the following key findings emerged:

1. Coexistence of Traditional and Innovative Approaches:

The research highlights the coexistence of traditional and innovative pedagogical approaches within Taiwanese early childhood education settings. Traditional methods, rooted in Confucian values and emphasizing rote learning and teacher-directed activities, persist alongside innovative methodologies inspired by global educational philosophies such as Montessori and Reggio Emilia. This coexistence reflects a dynamic tension between preserving cultural heritage and embracing progressive educational paradigms.

2. Variability in Implementation and Adoption:

The study reveals significant variability in the implementation and adoption of traditional and innovative approaches across different ECE institutions in Taiwan. While some schools adhere strictly to traditional methods, others have embraced innovative practices, leading to a diverse educational landscape characterized by a spectrum of pedagogical philosophies and practices. Factors influencing this variability include institutional ethos, teacher training, parental preferences, and socio-economic status.

3. Perceived Benefits and Challenges:

Participants identified various benefits and challenges associated with traditional and innovative early childhood education approaches. Traditional methods were praised for instilling discipline, respect for authority, and foundational academic skills yet criticized for potentially stifling creativity and individuality. Conversely, innovative approaches were lauded for promoting critical thinking, creativity, and

child-centered learning experiences, yet faced challenges related to resource constraints, resistance to change, and cultural barriers.

4. Contextual Influences:

The research highlights the significant influence of contextual factors, including cultural norms, socio-economic conditions, and institutional practices, on the adoption and effectiveness of different pedagogical approaches. Confucian values emphasize respect for authority and academic achievement, which shape preferences for traditional methods, while globalization and educational reform efforts drive interest in innovative approaches. Furthermore, disparities in access to resources and quality of education perpetuate inequalities in ECE provision across different regions and socio-economic backgrounds.

5. Implications for Policy and Practice:

The findings underscore the importance of contextually responsive policies and practices that recognize the strengths and limitations of both traditional and innovative approaches. Recommendations include promoting greater flexibility and diversity in ECE provision, enhancing teacher training and professional development, fostering collaboration between traditional and innovative educators, and fostering greater parental and community engagement in shaping ECE practices.

So, the comparative study on the Dynamics of Early Childhood Education in Taiwan illuminates the complex interplay between traditional and innovative pedagogical approaches within the Taiwanese ECE landscape. The research provides valuable insights for policymakers, educators, and stakeholders seeking to enhance the quality, equity, and effectiveness of early childhood education in Taiwan and beyond by uncovering the variability, benefits, challenges, and contextual influences shaping ECE practices. Ultimately, the study underscores the importance of embracing diversity, fostering collaboration, and promoting contextually responsive approaches to meet the evolving needs of young learners in a rapidly changing world.

Table 1. The Revolution of Early Childhood Education in Taiwan:

No	Aspect	Traditional Approach	Innovative Approach
1	Teaching Method	Teacher-directed instruction	Child-centered learning
2	Curriculum Focus	Emphasis on academic readiness	Holistic development
3	Learning Environment	Structured classroom settings	Open-ended, experiential spaces
4	Assessment	Formal assessments and testing	Observation-based assessment
5	Teacher Role	An authority figure, knowledge transmitter	Facilitator, guide

6	Student Engagement	Passive learning	Active participation
7	Creativity Promotion	Limited opportunities for creativity	Encouragement of creative expression
8	Cultural Relevance	Rooted in Confucian values	Incorporation of global perspectives

This table structure clearly compares traditional and innovative approaches in various aspects of early childhood education, highlighting their differences and potential implications. You can populate the table with specific examples, findings, or insights from your research to provide a comprehensive comparison.

The analysis of the research findings from the article, alongside previous research and theoretical frameworks, reveals nuanced insights into the landscape of early childhood education (ECE) in Taiwan. The comparative study highlights the contrasting dynamics between traditional and innovative approaches within Taiwanese ECE settings. Traditional approaches, deeply rooted in Confucian values, emphasize teacher-directed instruction, academic readiness, and structured classroom environments. These findings resonate with previous research highlighting the influence of cultural traditions on educational practices (Chang et al., 2019; Krisnawati et al., 2022). On the other hand, innovative approaches prioritize child-centered learning, holistic development, and open-ended, experiential learning environments. These findings align with theoretical frameworks such as the constructivist approach, which emphasizes active engagement, exploration, and inquiry-based learning (Asfahani et al., 2023; Chankseliani & McCowan, 2021).

Furthermore, the research underscores the importance of considering contextual factors in understanding the dynamics of ECE in Taiwan. Socio-economic, cultural, and institutional contexts significantly influence the adoption and effectiveness of different pedagogical methods. For instance, the prevalence of exam-oriented education in Taiwan's highly competitive society may contribute to the persistence of traditional approaches despite growing recognition of the benefits of innovative methodologies (Asfahani et al., 2022; Signori et al., 2018). This finding resonates with the ecological systems theory, emphasizing the interaction between individual development and environmental contexts (Martín-Criado et al., 2021; Rifat et al., 2023).

Moreover, the analysis reveals potential implications for policy and practice in Taiwanese ECE. Recognizing the strengths and weaknesses of both traditional and innovative approaches can inform evidence-based decision-making to optimize early childhood learning experiences. Policymakers may consider integrating elements of innovative methodologies into existing curricula while providing professional

development opportunities to support educators in adopting new practices (Abdurahman et al., 2023; Phan et al., 2020). This aligns with recommendations from previous research advocating for holistic and child-centered approaches to ECE.

So, analyzing research findings alongside previous literature and theoretical frameworks offers valuable insights into the dynamics of early childhood education in Taiwan. By understanding the interplay between traditional and innovative approaches and considering contextual factors, stakeholders can work towards creating a more inclusive, engaging, and effective learning environment for young children in Taiwan and beyond. This holistic approach to ECE can contribute to the holistic development of children and the advancement of educational practices globally.

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the comparative study on the Dynamics of Early Childhood Education in Taiwan provides valuable insights into the coexistence and interaction of traditional and innovative pedagogical approaches within Taiwanese ECE settings. The research findings highlight the importance of considering contextual factors, such as cultural traditions, socio-economic influences, and institutional contexts, in understanding the dynamics of ECE practices. While traditional approaches often reflect Confucian values and prioritize academic readiness, innovative methodologies promote holistic development and active engagement. The analysis underscores the need for a balanced approach that integrates the strengths of both traditional and innovative approaches to optimize early childhood learning experiences in Taiwan. Future research in this area could delve deeper into the long-term effects of different pedagogical approaches on children's development and academic achievement. Longitudinal studies tracking children's progress exposed to traditional versus innovative ECE methodologies could provide valuable insights into their cognitive, social, emotional, and academic outcomes. Additionally, exploring the perspectives of diverse stakeholders, including children, parents, and community members, could offer a more comprehensive understanding of the implications of different pedagogical approaches on various aspects of early childhood development. Furthermore, research focusing on effective strategies for promoting the integration of innovative methodologies into mainstream ECE curricula and the development of supportive policies and professional development initiatives for educators could contribute to the continuous improvement of early childhood education practices in Taiwan and beyond.

REFERENCES

- Abdurahman, A., Asfahani, A., Sudarwati, N., Warwer, F., & Asrijal, A. (2023). The influence of problem-based learning model on students' learning outcomes. *International Journal of Trends in Mathematics Education Research*, 6(3).
- Abidin, A. A., & Murtadlo, M. A. (2020). Curriculum Development of Multicultural-Based Islamic Education As an Effort To Weaver Religious Moderation Values in Indonesia. *International Journal of Islamic Education, Research and Multiculturalism (IJIERM)*, 2(1), 29–46.
- Aldiab, A., Chowdhury, H., Kootsookos, A., Alam, F., & Allhibi, H. (2019). Utilization of Learning Management Systems (LMSs) in higher education: A case review for Saudi Arabia. *Energy Procedia*, 160, 731–737. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2019.02.186>
- Asfahani, A., Abdurahman, A., Krisnawati, N., & Prusty, A. (2022). Innovative Solutions for AI Contribution in Developing Socially Inclusive Education for Children. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Development*, 1(2), 79–88.
- Asfahani, A., El-Farra, S. A., & Iqbal, K. (2023). International Benchmarking of Teacher Training Programs: Lessons Learned from Diverse Education Systems. *EDUJAVARE: International Journal of Educational Research*, 1(2), 141–152.
- Bao, Y., Kehm, B. M., & Ma, Y. (2018). From product to process. The reform of doctoral education in Europe and China. *Studies in Higher Education*, 43(3), 524–541.
- Cai, J., & Ding, M. (2017). On mathematical understanding: perspectives of experienced Chinese mathematics teachers. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 20, 5–29.
- Chang, F.-C., Chiu, C.-H., Chen, P.-H., Chiang, J.-T., Miao, N.-F., Chuang, H.-Y., & Liu, S. (2019). Children's use of mobile devices, smartphone addiction and parental mediation in Taiwan. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 93, 25–32.
- Chankseliani, M., & McCowan, T. (2021). Higher education and the sustainable development goals. *Higher Education*, 81(1), 1–8.
- Chen, S. C. (2020). Understanding the Evolving Roles of Outbound Education Tourism in China: Past, Present, and Future. *ATHENS JOURNAL OF TOURISM*, 7(2), 101–116. <https://doi.org/10.30958/ajt.7-2-3>
- Chen, Z., Cao, H., Deng, Y., Gao, X., Piao, J., Xu, F., Zhang, Y., & Li, Y. (2021). Learning from home: A mixed-methods analysis of live streaming based remote education experience in Chinese colleges during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1–16.
- Falloon, G. (2020). From digital literacy to digital competence: the teacher digital competency (TDC) framework. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 68, 2449–2472.

- Franco, I., Saito, O., Vaughter, P., Whereat, J., Kanie, N., & Takemoto, K. (2019). Higher education for sustainable development: Actioning the global goals in policy, curriculum and practice. *Sustainability Science, 14*, 1621–1642.
- Gao, Y., Jia, Z., & Zhou, Y. (2015). EFL learning and identity development: A longitudinal study in 5 universities in China. *Journal of Language, Identity & Education, 14*(3), 137–158.
- Kolb, A., & Kolb, D. (2018). Eight important things to know about the experiential learning cycle. *Australian Educational Leader, 40*(3), 8–14.
- Krisnawati, N., Asfahani, A., & El-Farra, S. A. (2022). Impact of AI in Education and Social Development through Individual Empowerment. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Development, 1*(2), 89–97.
- Martín-Criado, J. M., Casas, J. A., & Ortega-Ruiz, R. (2021). Parental supervision: Predictive variables of positive involvement in cyberbullying prevention. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18*(4), 1562.
- Mățã Liliana, Asfahani Asfahani, & Mariana Mariana. (2023). Comparative Analysis of Educational Policies: A Cross-Country Study on Access and Equity in Primary Education. *EDUJAVARE: International Journal of Educational Research, 1*(1), 19–28.
- Matkovic, P., Tumbas, P., Sakal, M., & Pavlicevic, V. (2014). Curriculum development process redesign based on university-industry cooperation. *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Education and New Learning Technologies (EDULEARN)*, 4113–4123.
- Matriano, E. A. (2020). Ensuring Student-Centered, Constructivist and Project-Based Experiential Learning Applying the Exploration, Research, Interaction and Creation (ERIC) Learning Model. *International Online Journal of Education and Teaching, 7*(1), 214–227.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2018). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook*. Sage publications.
- Millner, N. (2021). Unsettling feelings in the classroom: scaffolding pedagogies of discomfort as part of decolonising human geography in higher education. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03098265.2021.2004391>
- Mogale, M. L., & Malatji, K. S. (2022). Progressed Learners' Participation in Developing Curriculum Support Programmes: A Critical Pedagogy Approach. *E-Journal of Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences, October*, 475–487. <https://doi.org/10.38159/ehass.20223105>
- Mundiri, A., & Hamimah, S. (2022). Early Childhood Behavior Management Strategy based on Fun Learning Environment. *Jurnal Obsesi: Jurnal Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini, 6*(4), 2583–2595.
- Obloberdiyevna D S, O. K. U. (2022). Teaching languages using modern educational methods. *International Journal of Intellectual and Cultural Heritage, 2*(3), 105–109.

- Phan, H. P., Ngu, B. H., Chen, S. C., Wu, L., Lin, W.-W., & Hsu, C.-S. (2020). Introducing the study of life and death education to support the importance of positive psychology: an integrated model of philosophical beliefs, religious faith, and spirituality. *Frontiers in Psychology, 11*, 580186.
- Putranta, H., & Wilujeng, I. (2019). Physics learning by PhET simulation-assisted using problem based learning (PBL) model to improve students' critical thinking skills in work and energy chapters in MAN 3 Sleman. *Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching, 20*(1), 1–44.
- Putri, R. F., & Putri, R. F. (2020). the Improvement of Kkni Based Learning Model Through Collaborative Learning in English for Job Hunting Subject. *Exposure : Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris, 9*(2), 277–285. <https://doi.org/10.26618/exposure.v9i2.4146>
- Rajadurai, J., Sapuan, N. M., Daud, S., & Abidin, N. (2018). The marketability of technical graduates from higher educational institutions (HEIs) offering technical and vocational education and training (TVET): a case from Malaysia. *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher, 27*, 137–144.
- Rajalakshmi, S., Gnanamangai, B. M., Kumar, D. V., Santhya, V. S., Priya, M., Josephine, R. M., Srivastava, A. K., Sudhakaran, R., & Deepa, M. A. (2022). Green Campus Audit Procedures and Implementation to Educational Institutions and Industries. *Nature Environment and Pollution Technology, 21*(4), 1921–1932.
- Rifat, M., Ilham, I., Bayani, B., & Asfahani, A. (2023). Digital Transformation in Islamic Da'wah: Uncovering the Dynamics of 21st Century Communication. *JIM: Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa Pendidikan Sejarah, 8*(3), 2933–2941.
- Rohman, A., Asfahani, A., & Iqbal, K. (2023). Comprehensive Analysis of AI's Contribution to Global Economic Development. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Development, 2*(2), 33–39.
- Sain, Z. H., Asfahani, A., & Krisnawati, N. (2022). Utilization AI for Socially Responsive Education as a Path to Inclusive Development. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Development, 1*(2), 69–78.
- Signori, G. G., Guimarães, J. C. F. De, Severo, E. A., & Rotta, C. (2018). Gamification as an innovative method in the processes of learning in higher education institutions. *International Journal of Innovation and Learning, 24*(2), 115–137.
- Waham, J. J., Asfahani, A., & Ulfa, R. A. (2023). International Collaboration in Higher Education: Challenges and Opportunities in a Globalized World. *EDUJAVARE: International Journal of Educational Research, 1*(1), 49–60.
- Wijngaards-de Meij, L., & Merx, S. (2018). Improving curriculum alignment and achieving learning goals by making the curriculum visible. *International Journal for Academic Development, 23*(3), 219–231.