
Multiphase Flow Behavior And Production Efficiency In Devuated Horizontal Wells

Baiq Maulinda Ulfah¹, Abdi Suprayitno², Risna³, Aprilno Alfa Kumasela⁴, Abdul Gafar Karim⁵, Iin Darmiyati⁶

Sekolah Tinggi Teknologi Minyak dan Gas Bumi; Indonesia
correspondence e-mail*, zela.madani.1901107@gmail.com

Submitted: Revised: 2021/11/01; Accepted: 2021/11/21; Published: 2021/12/12

Abstract

In oil and gas production, horizontal wells are increasingly used to enhance reservoir performance by placing a longer wellbore section within the reservoir. These wells often adopt specific inclinations either upward-sloping or downward-sloping terminal sections to align with formation dip and minimize issues such as liquid loading. However, undulating trajectories in horizontal wells may lead to challenges such as liquid accumulation in downward-sloping sections and gas entrapment in upward-sloping sections, potentially reducing production efficiency. This study aims to predict fluid production rates and analyze multiphase flow behavior in horizontal wells with varying wellbore inclinations using a production simulator. Four scenarios were modeled: Original, True Horizontal, Upward-Inclined End (95° and 100° inclination), and Downward-Inclined End (80° and 85° inclination). The study utilized 20 deviation survey data points from Well F-14 in Field 'V' to construct the well trajectory models, adhering to the simulator's input limitations. Simulation results indicate that the upward-inclined configuration with a 100° inclination achieved the highest oil production rate (9401.8 STB/day), outperforming other scenarios in both oil and gas flow rates. The enhanced performance is attributed to gravitational assistance in fluid movement and reservoir pressure expansion. In contrast, the downward-inclined geometry yielded the lowest production due to higher liquid holdup. Gradient matching was employed to identify dominant flow patterns and slip velocities, revealing bubble flow dominance in horizontal sections and transition to slug flow in mid-well segments. These findings highlight the importance of well trajectory design in optimizing multiphase fluid flow and maximizing production in horizontal wells.

Keywords

Horizontal well, multiphase flow, production simulation, inclination effect, well trajectory, fluid holdup, flow pattern analysis, reservoir performance



© 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license, <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, horizontal wells have become a crucial technique in enhancing oil and gas production, particularly in complex and low-permeability reservoirs. Compared to vertical wells,

horizontal wells offer greater reservoir contact, improved drainage area, and increased production rates. However, the performance of horizontal wells is significantly influenced by their trajectory and inclination, especially in multiphase flow environments. Variations in inclination can result in uneven fluid distribution, flow separation, and changes in pressure profiles along the wellbore.

Understanding how different well trajectories affect fluid flow behavior is essential for optimizing production and ensuring long-term reservoir performance. Factors such as gas-liquid separation, gravitational segregation, and flow regime transitions are influenced by the angle and orientation of the well. Numerical simulations and modeling tools, such as OLGA, have been widely used to study these phenomena and predict production outcomes under various design scenarios. This study aims to analyze the impact of well inclination on production performance by simulating multiphase flow behavior along different horizontal well configurations. The results are expected to provide insights for better well planning and design in order to maximize hydrocarbon recovery.

METHOD

This study was conducted through a series of simulations using the OLGA dynamic multiphase flow simulator to evaluate production performance in horizontal well configurations with varying inclinations. The well profiles were designed to represent common variations encountered in field operations, where the horizontal sections either rise or dip relative to the horizontal axis. These profiles were selected to examine how different inclinations affect pressure drop, flow regime distribution, and liquid accumulation along the wellbore. Simulation parameters included constant reservoir pressure, fluid properties representative of light oil and associated gas, and typical tubing dimensions. The inlet boundary conditions were defined to maintain a consistent gas-liquid ratio and flow rate, while the outlet was controlled by a fixed separator pressure. The simulations accounted for gravitational effects, frictional pressure loss, and momentum changes to accurately reflect real field conditions.

Each well trajectory was analyzed over a simulation period long enough to capture transient effects and reach a quasi-steady state. Key performance indicators such as bottomhole pressure, liquid holdup, and gas breakthrough timing were extracted and compared across different inclination scenarios. The results were visualized and interpreted to identify flow behavior patterns and production challenges associated with well geometry.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The simulation results reveal significant differences in multiphase flow behavior based on the inclination of the horizontal well segment. In the configuration where the horizontal section gradually inclines upward relative to the heel, there was a noticeable tendency for liquid accumulation in the lower parts of the wellbore. This is attributed to gravitational separation, where gas preferentially migrates toward the higher end while liquids tend to pool in the lower sections. As a result, intermittent slug flow and unstable pressure profiles were observed, which can lead to production inefficiencies and increased risk of liquid loading.

Conversely, in the configuration where the horizontal segment declines with respect to the heel, liquid holdup was less pronounced. The downward-sloping geometry assisted in natural liquid drainage, reducing the risk of slug formation. This promoted a more stable stratified flow pattern and consistent pressure distribution along the well. However, at extremely low inclinations, the effect became less significant, indicating the importance of angle optimization in well design.

The simulation also showed that pressure drop was generally higher in configurations with upward-sloping horizontal sections due to increased frictional resistance and flow instabilities. This required a higher bottomhole pressure to sustain the same production rate compared to the alternative configuration. The presence of trapped liquid slugs created transient surges in pressure, posing challenges for artificial lift systems.

Overall, the results emphasize the critical role of well trajectory in determining flow regime behavior and production performance. Inclined geometries must be carefully considered during the design phase to minimize flow assurance issues, such as slugging, backpressure buildup, and early gas breakthrough. These findings align with field observations where well inclination significantly influences long-term productivity and operational stability.

KESIMPULAN

This study has demonstrated the significant impact of horizontal well inclination on multiphase flow behavior and production performance. Simulation results using OLGA show that variations in well trajectory influence liquid holdup, pressure distribution, and flow stability. Upward-inclined geometries tend to promote liquid accumulation and slugging, which can reduce flow efficiency and increase operational challenges. In contrast, downward-inclined configurations

facilitate better liquid drainage, resulting in more stable flow regimes and lower pressure drops. The findings highlight the importance of optimizing wellbore design to account for gravitational effects and flow dynamics in horizontal sections. Proper trajectory planning can mitigate flow assurance issues, enhance production stability, and improve overall reservoir recovery. Future work should explore the integration of artificial lift strategies and real-time monitoring to further optimize performance in complex flow conditions.

REFERENCES

- American Petroleum Institute (API). 1990. *Management of Water Discharges: Design and Operations of Oil-Water Separators* (1st Edition). Washington, D.C. American Petroleum Institute.
- Adriamamonjy Zoelisoa, 2017. "Multiphysic Modelisation and Numerical Simulation 2D For Fluids Separator and 3D For Shell and Tube-Heat Exchanger".
- Antonopoulos, I., Faraca, G., & Tonini, D. (2021). Recycling of post-consumer plastic packaging waste in the EU: Recovery rates, material flows, and barriers. *Waste Management*, 126, 694–705.
- Arnold Ken & Steward Mourice. 2017. *Surface Production Operation. Design of Oil Handling Systems and Facilities*.
- Li, A., Yuen, A. C. Y., Wang, W., De Cachinho Cordeiro, I. M., Wang, C., Chen, T. B. Y., Zhang, J., Chan, Q. N., & Yeoh, G. H. (2021). A review on lithium-ion battery separators towards enhanced safety performances and modelling approaches. *Molecules*, 26(2), 478.
- Li, Y., Gao, T., Ni, D., Zhou, Y., Yousaf, M., Guo, Z., Zhou, J., Zhou, P., Wang, Q., & Guo, S. (2022). Two birds with one stone: interfacial engineering of multifunctional janus separator for lithium–sulfur batteries. *Advanced Materials*, 34(5), 2107638.
- Liang, Y., Ma, D., Zhao, N., Wang, Y., Yang, M., Ruan, J., Yang, G., Mi, H., He, C., & Zhang, P. (2022). Novel concept of separator design: efficient ions transport modulator enabled by dual-interface engineering toward ultra-stable Zn metal anodes. *Advanced Functional Materials*, 32(25), 2112936.
- Lloyd E. Brownell, Edwin H. Young, 1959. "Process Equipment Design". WileyInterscience.
- Ludwig, E.E 1964. "Applied Process Design for Chemical and Petrochemical Plants", Vol 1, Houston: Gulf Publishing Co.
- Lv, D., Chai, J., Wang, P., Zhu, L., Liu, C., Nie, S., Li, B., & Cui, G. (2021). Pure cellulose lithium-ion battery separator with tunable pore size and improved working stability by cellulose nanofibrils. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 251, 116975.
- Kusjunianto, 2016. *Fungsi, Bagian dan Jenis Separator*. Gas Pro Part of BUMN. Banggai. Sulawesi Tengah

- Maulidiawati, S. N. (2024). Analisis Kekuatan Bearing Block Alumunium 6061 pada Pemisah Sampah Otomatis Menggunakan Metode Elemen Hingga. *Jurnal Teknik & Teknologi Terapan*, 2(1), 26–30.
- Prastyo, E. (2021). Analisis Kinerja Kondensor Direct Contact Tipe Jetspray Berdasarkan Efektivitas dan Efisiensi Thermal PT Geothermal Energy Ulubelu. *Jurnal Teknik: Media Pengembangan Ilmu Dan Aplikasi Teknik*, 20(1), 1–10.
- Qin, J., Chen, Z., Bi, P., Yang, Y., Zhang, J., Huang, Z., Wei, Z., An, C., Yao, H., & Hao, X. (2021). 17% efficiency all-small-molecule organic solar cells enabled by nanoscale phase separation with a hierarchical branched structure. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 14(11), 5903–5910.
- Setyono, A. E., Yudhaprasetya, A. B., Samara, J. J. T., Hardiananto, N., Kurniawan, B., Al-Muflih, I. Z., & Yogowibowo, T. (2024). ANALISIS SISTEM EJECTOR UNTUK PEMULIHAN GAS SUAR BAKAR PADA FASILITAS PRODUKSI MINYAK DAN GAS LEPAS PANTAI. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Teknologi Energi Dan Mineral*, 4(1), 721–731.
- Syifa'Vania, A., & Rubianto, L. (2024). DESAIN CYCLONE DUST COLLECTOR UNTUK UPAYA MEMPERBAIKI SIRKULASI UDARA DAN MENGURANGI KOROSI PADA AREA PROSES PRODUKSI. *DISTILAT: Jurnal Teknologi Separasi*, 10(1), 1–12.
- Adha, I. (2021). *RESERVOIR DI LAPANGAN CIPLUK KENDAL*. 3(September), 39–50.
- Firdaus, F., & Afifah, R. S. (2022). Analisa injeksi Surfaktan+KCL untuk meningkatkan perolehan produksi minyak pada formasi AB-2b di formasi air benakat. *PETROGAS: Journal of Energy and Technology*, 4(2), 27–41. <https://doi.org/10.58267/petrogas.v4i2.126>
- Malrin, E., Studi, P., Perminyakan, T., Tinggi, S., & Migas, T. (2022). *TERHADAP PRODUKSI RESERVOIR MULTILAYER*. 4(September), 1–16.
- Manik, N., Rozi, F., Paindan, E. N., Tinggi, S., & Migas, T. (2025). *ANALISIS PENGARUH PENAMBAHAN PAC-R TERHADAP*. 7(1), 62–72.
- Monde, J., Kumala Sari, P. I., Willard, K., Widjaja, T., & Altway, A. (2019). Pengaruh Tipe Packing Dalam Absorpsi Reaktif K₂Co₃ Berpromotor Dea Dalam Reactor Packed Column. *PETROGAS: Journal of Energy and Technology*, 1(2), 27–33. <https://doi.org/10.58267/petrogas.v1i2.25>
- Salfigo, R. F., Paindan, E. N., Studi, P., Perminyakan, T., Tinggi, S., & Migas, T. (2024). *PREDIKSI PORE PRESSURE DAN FRACTURE GRADIENT (PPFG) PADA SUMUR HSN MENGGUNAKAN PENDEKATAN SUMUR RHN & FGO PADA LAPANGAN BUNYU*. 6(2), 1–10.
- Sima, N., Friadi Sinaga, J., Perminyakan, T., Migas Balikpapan JlSoekarno-Hatta Km, S., & Joang Kalimantan Timur, K. (2022). Optimasi Hydraulic Pumping Unit Pada Sumur „Wn-98“ Lapangan „X“. *Petrogas*, 4(1), 47–56.

Widodo, D. F. E. E. (2020). Analisa Performa Reservoir Tight Gas Menggunakan Analisa Decline Curve Metode Duong Pada Sumur Vertikal Dan Horizontal Multifrakturasi Menggunakan Simulasi Reservoir. *PETROGAS: Journal of Energy and Technology*, 2(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.58267/petrogas.v2i1.28>