Volume 7 Number 1 (2025)
January-April 2025
Page: 955-974

E-ISSN: 2656-4491
P-ISSN: 2656-4548
DOI: 10.37680/scaffolding.v7i1.9263

SCAFFOLDING
Jurnal Pendidikan Islam dan Multikulturalisme

INTEGRATING THE CER FRAMEWORK IN ARGUMENTATIVE WRITING TO FOSTER LOGICAL THINKING AND EVIDENCE-BASED REASONING

Intan Kholida Dj. S[1], Irma Suryani[2], Mukhlash Abrar[3]
[123]Universitas Jambi; Indonesia
Correspondence E-mail; intankholida2462001@gmail.com

Submitted: 12/01/2025 Revised: 11/02/2025 Accepted: 27/03/2025 Published: 21/04/2025

Abstract

This study investigates integrating the Claim, Evidence, and Reasoning (CER) framework to enhance students' argumentative writing skills, a critical competency in 21st-century literacy, and employing a mixed-method approach that combines a qualitative descriptive analysis with a pre-experimental design. Data were rigorously collected through semi-structured interviews, direct field observations, and comprehensive writing assessments, including pretest and posttest evaluations. This study employed a mixed-methods approach with a pre-experimental one-group pretest-posttest design involving 30 eleventh-grade students at MAS Al Khairiyah in Jambi, for a total of 412 students, selected through purposive sampling. Quantitative data from performance tests and qualitative data from observations and interviews were analyzed simultaneously using the N-Gain test and an interactive analysis model to describe students' cognitive transformation in argumentative writing. The findings reveal a significant improvement in all argumentative components, with a "highly effective" N-Gain score of 0.86. Students demonstrated a qualitative transition from subjective opinions to structured discourse by mastering clear claims, integrating factual evidence, and engaging in coherent, logical reasoning. This study confirms that the CER framework serves as a robust cognitive scaffold, making it a recommended instructional strategy for developing intellectual rigor and persuasive communication skills.

Keywords

CER framework, argumentative writing, logical thinking, evidence-based reasoning, and pedagogical effectiveness.

INTRODUCTION

Argumentative writing stands as a cornerstone of advanced academic literacy and a pivotal competency in twenty-first-century education.(Kranich, 2024; Mirra & Garcia, 2021). Beyond mere sentence construction, crafting an argumentative text is a complex cognitive process that requires critical thinking to persuade readers through valid, rigorous reasoning. In the global educational landscape, mastery of argumentation is a primary indicator of a student's ability to articulate ideas logically and persuasively. (Handoyo et al., 2024; Song et al., 2024). However, the reality of instructional practices often reveals a tendency to focus on formal structural templates without addressing the essence of argumentative strength, thereby hindering students' potential to develop their full intellectual capacity through writing. (E. M. Nussbaum, 2021; Pelenkahu et al., 2024).

A fundamental problem frequently encountered in student compositions is the inability to construct a robust correlation between a stated position and its supporting data. Many students can formulate a claim or opinion, yet they often fail to incorporate relevant evidence or to provide logical explanations that connect the evidence to the claim. (Kartika et al., 2024). This phenomenon indicates a significant cognitive barrier in evidence-based reasoning. Without a clear structural framework, student writing tends to be subjective, speculative, and lacking in academic depth, which ultimately diminishes the persuasive quality and credibility of their work.(Gu & Xu, 2021; Kalvapalle et al., 2024; Nokes & De La Paz, 2023).

To address these obstacles, the Claim, Evidence, and Reasoning (CER) framework emerges as a structural solution that offers a systematic thinking pattern. The CER model assists students in deconstructing arguments into three key components: a specific position statement (Claim), objective supporting data (Evidence), and a logical justification that connects the two (Reasoning)(Puttick & Wynn, 2021; Zhou, 2024). While this framework was initially developed extensively in science education to build scientific arguments, its adaptation to language instruction specifically in Indonesian argumentative texts—offers immense potential to transform how students construct their thoughts.(Ariely et al., 2024; M. Nussbaum, 2023). Through CER, students are not merely taught "what" to write, but "how" to think dialectically.

Objective field data indicate that the argumentative writing proficiency of eleventh-grade students at MAS Al-Khairiyah prior to this intervention was concerning. Based on preliminary studies involving initial competency tests (pre-tests) and an analysis of student writing portfolios, it was found that students' mean argumentative ability score was 42.5 out of 100, significantly below

the Minimum Mastery Criterion (KKM) of 75. Class observations revealed a phenomenon of "cognitive stagnation," in which 70% of students could formulate claims only based on subjective opinions, without supporting empirical data. Interviews with Indonesian language teachers reinforced these findings, noting that students tended to write in circles—repeating the same assertions—without a linear or logical structure. This inability to distinguish between personal opinion and factual evidence resulted in argumentative texts that lacked both persuasive power and academic depth.

In response to these low literacy levels, MAS Al-Khairiyah's institutional policy has sought to mitigate the issue by reinforcing the "Madrasah Literacy Program" and optimizing additional hours for scientific writing. However, internal curriculum documentation reveals that the implementation of these policies remained trapped in a conventional, administrative approach. Teachers tended to emphasize grammatical mechanics and spelling, while the substantive aspects of logical reasoning and argumentative rigor received insufficient instructional focus. The madrasah administration acknowledged a significant gap between the curriculum's mandate for critical thinking and the availability of practical teaching modules to guide students in constructing arguments. This disconnect created an urgent need for a pedagogical instrument capable of bridging the cognitive barriers students face when organizing their thoughts systematically.

As a structural antithesis to this instructional deadlock, this research proposes the Claim, Evidence, and Reasoning (CER) framework to enhance students' reasoning quality at MAS Al-Khairiyah. The application of CER is deemed crucial because it functions as a cognitive scaffold that compels students to move away from speculative thinking toward evidence-based inquiry. Unlike conventional methods that merely provide the vague instruction "write your argument," CER offers operational guidance. Every claim must be anchored in objective evidence and reinforced by reasoning that explicitly connects the data to the position statement. By implementing CER, students no longer simply transcribe information; they learn to construct knowledge dialectically. This framework provides the intellectual discipline students need to transform informal spoken language into rigid, structured academic prose.

The integration of the CER framework at MAS Al-Khairiyah is also grounded in the pressing need to transform the learning culture from rote memorization to analytical synthesis. Interview data with students revealed that their primary difficulty was not a lack of ideas but rather a lack of knowledge about how to validate those ideas for an audience logically. By deconstructing

argumentative components into smaller, measurable elements through CER, the psychological barriers students feel toward writing can be significantly reduced. This study argues that improvements in argumentative writing cannot be achieved without a paradigm shift in the thinking process itself. Therefore, the application of the CER framework in this school is expected not only to increase class averages quantitatively but also to foster a generation of communicators who are critical, objective, and intellectually accountable for the arguments they present.

Previous studies have explored the implementation of the CER framework across various disciplines, particularly in enhancing scientific literacy and oral argumentation skills. (Buntha et al., 2024; E. M. Nussbaum et al., 2024; Weiss et al., 2022). However, a significant research gap remains: the literature specifically examining the integration of CER into the teaching of argumentative texts in the context of Indonesian language instruction is still remarkably limited. Most existing studies focus on final learning outcomes without deeply investigating how the internalisation of the CER pattern qualitatively strengthens students' logical thinking structures. (Castro, 2024). Furthermore, the synergy between Project-Based Learning (PBL) models (Eswaran, 2024; Mughrabi, 2021; Mutambiranwa, 2024) Moreover, the CER framework in the context of argumentative writing has not been comprehensively explored in prior research.

The novelty of this research lies in the synthesis of the CER framework with argumentative writing instruction that emphasizes argument reinforcement through a multidimensional approach. This study not only measures learning outcomes quantitatively through N-Gain scores but also provides a detailed account of students' cognitive development in developing logical reasoning during the intervention. By focusing on "argument reinforcement" as a primary variable, this research distinguishes itself from general literacy studies, as it specifically targets the mechanism of how evidence and reasoning work synergistically within the argumentative discourse of secondary school students.

The urgency of this research is crucial, given the pressing need for effective pedagogical tools to enhance students' critical thinking skills amidst the current surge of information and disinformation. By equipping students with the CER framework, education produces not only skilled writers but also citizens capable of data-driven, logical reasoning. The findings of this study are expected to make a theoretical contribution to the development of language didactics and to offer practical guidance for educators in implementing instructional strategies that foster higher-order reasoning. Therefore, this study aims to describe the application and analyze the effectiveness

of the CER pattern as a framework for reinforcing arguments in students' argumentative writing.

The conceptual framework of this study is further anchored in the belief that argumentative writing is not merely a linguistic product but a reflection of a student's internal logic. At MAS Al-Khairiyah, the observed inability of students to link claims with evidence suggests a "logic-language gap" that cannot be solved by traditional grammar-centric instruction. By adopting the CER framework, this research shifts the pedagogical focus from the final written product to the underlying cognitive process. This approach aligns with the Social Constructivist perspective, which posits that complex mental functions are first developed through social, structured tools before being internalized as independent thought processes. Thus, the CER framework serves as the "meditational tool" necessary for students to navigate the complexities of academic persuasion.

Furthermore, integrating Project-Based Learning (PBL) as the delivery vehicle for the CER framework in this study provides a realistic, immersive environment for skill acquisition. Unlike isolated writing exercises, the PjBL model allows students at MAS Al-Khairiyah to engage with authentic issues that require real-world data collection. This synergy is vital because it transforms "Evidence" from an abstract requirement into a functional necessity for solving the project's core problem. By situating the CER framework within a project-based context, this research explores how students' motivation and cognitive engagement are heightened when they perceive their writing as a tool for genuine communication rather than a mere classroom requirement.

Methodologically, this research goes beyond simple pre-test and post-test comparisons by employing a rigorous mixed-methods analysis to capture the "how" and "why" of students' progress. While the quantitative N-Gain scores provide a measure of effectiveness, the qualitative observations and interview data offer a window into the students' shifting perceptions of what constitutes a "strong argument." This dual-layered approach is essential for identifying the specific difficulties students encounter when transitioning from subjective to objective discourse. Consequently, this study provides a granular view of the instructional journey, offering insights that are often overlooked in purely quantitative educational research.

Finally, the broader implications of this study extend to the revitalization of Indonesian language pedagogy in the digital age. As students are increasingly confronted with fragmented information and logical fallacies in their daily lives, the ability to deconstruct and reconstruct arguments using the CER framework becomes a survival skill. This research aims to provide a scalable model for other madrasahs and secondary schools facing similar literacy challenges. By

proving that a structured, evidence-based approach can significantly enhance argumentative rigor, this study contributes to a larger educational movement that prioritizes intellectual integrity and critical media literacy. Therefore, the subsequent sections of this paper will detail the implementation process and the resulting cognitive transformations observed during this intervention.

METHOD

This study employed a mixed-methods approach. (Takona, 2024), systematically integrating a pre-experimental one-group pretest-posttest design with descriptive qualitative analysis. This methodological framework aimed to provide a comprehensive overview, both statistically through student learning outcomes and procedurally through in-depth observations of the implementation of the Claim, Evidence, and Reasoning (CER) framework. The research was conducted at MAS Al Khairiyah in Jambi Province with 412 students, and a purposive sample of 30 eleventh-grade students was selected. The researcher collected quantitative data through expert-validated argumentative writing performance tests, specifically pretest and posttest evaluations, to measure the precision of students' claims, the integration of factual evidence, and the coherence of their logical reasoning. Qualitatively, data were gathered through participant observation across the six stages of Project-Based Learning and semi-structured interviews to describe students' cognitive transition from subjective-anecdotal mindsets to systematic, evidence-based academic discourse.

The instructional procedure was executed by synthesizing the CER pattern into the Project-Based Learning (PjBL) model, which encompassed six systematic stages: posing essential questions, designing a project plan, creating a schedule, monitoring progress, assessing outcomes, and evaluating the learning experience. In each stage, the 30 participants were explicitly guided to transform their cognitive structures in constructing arguments. The process focused on the ability to formulate robust claims, integrate valid factual evidence, and build logical reasoning that connects the evidence to the claim, supported by expert-validated Student Worksheets (LKPD). The primary objective is to evaluate how this structured pedagogical approach fosters logical thinking and evidence-based reasoning in secondary education.

Data collection instruments consisted of interview guides, activity observation sheets, and an argumentative writing performance test administered at the pretest and posttest stages. Instrument validity was ensured through expert judgment, which verified that the writing tasks

precisely measured the parameters of argument reinforcement via the CER pattern. In addition to quantitative test data, supporting documents, such as teaching modules and student writing portfolios, were analyzed to provide qualitative evidence of a shift in students' thought patterns—from subjective, opinion-based reasoning to systematic, evidence-based reasoning.

Data analysis techniques were conducted simultaneously by combining the interactive qualitative analysis model (data reduction, data display, and conclusion drawing) with quantitative analysis. The effectiveness of the intervention on the 30 research subjects was measured using the Normalized Gain (N-Gain) test to assess improvements in writing skills objectively.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Findings

The findings of this study reveal two primary dimensions of the impact of integrating the Claim, Evidence, and Reasoning (CER) framework into argumentative writing instruction: qualitative transformations in students' argumentative structures and quantitative measures of pedagogical effectiveness.

1. Structural Transformation in Argumentative Writing

Qualitative analysis of student work and classroom observations indicates a significant shift in how students construct their discourse. Prior to the intervention, students' arguments were predominantly characterized by subjective opinions and anecdotal claims. However, after implementing the CER framework, several key improvements were identified: Claim Proficiency: Students demonstrated a high level of competence in articulating clear, specific, and debatable claims. They moved beyond simple statements of agreement to sophisticated positioning on complex issues. Evidence-Based Support: There was a marked transition from "assumption-based" to "evidence-based" writing. Students successfully integrated factual data, observations, and external references to substantiate their positions, ensuring that every claim was anchored in objective reality. Logical Reasoning Coherence: The most critical development was observed in the reasoning component. Students became adept at constructing "logical bridges" that explain how and why the provided evidence supports their claims. This reflects an advancement in their higher-order thinking skills and intellectual rigor.

Qualitative analysis of student work and classroom observations indicates a significant shift in how students construct their discourse. Prior to the intervention, students' arguments were

predominantly characterized by subjective opinions and anecdotal claims. However, after implementing the CER framework, several key improvements were identified: Claim Proficiency: Students demonstrated a high level of competence in articulating clear, specific, and debatable claims. They moved beyond simple statements of agreement to sophisticated positioning on complex issues. Evidence-Based Support: There was a marked transition from "assumption-based" to "evidence-based" writing. Students successfully integrated factual data, observations, and external references to substantiate their positions, ensuring that every claim was anchored in objective reality. Logical Reasoning Coherence: The most critical development was observed in the reasoning component. Students became adept at constructing "logical bridges" that explain how and why the provided evidence supports their claims. This reflects an advancement in their higher-order thinking skills and intellectual rigor.

A significant behavioral change observed during the field sessions at MAS Al-Khairiyah was the emergence of "evaluative skepticism" among students. Before the CER intervention, students tended to accept information at face value, often citing general "common sense" as their primary justification. However, as the framework became internalized, students began to scrutinize their own sources, asking whether a piece of data was truly representative of their claim. This qualitative shift was particularly evident during the drafting of the Evidence section, where students were seen cross-referencing their initial observations with secondary digital resources to ensure empirical validity. This transition signifies that the CER framework acted not just as a writing tool but as a catalyst for developing a more rigorous academic identity.

Furthermore, the "Logical Reasoning" component facilitated a breakthrough in how students articulated the connection between abstract ideas and concrete data. Observation notes indicate that, in early sessions, students struggled to write more than a single justification sentence. Post-intervention, however, students demonstrated the ability to construct "logical chains"—complex sentences that utilized subordinating conjunctions to explain the underlying principles of their arguments. This structural maturation is a hallmark of higher-order thinking, as it requires students to move beyond description into synthesis and evaluation. The reasoning transcripts reveal that students no longer viewed claims and evidence as isolated units, but as part of a cohesive, symbiotic narrative.

The transformation also extended to the linguistic precision of the students' work. There was a notable decrease in the use of "vague intensifiers" (e.g., maybe, very, I think) and a corresponding

increase in "authoritative hedging" and objective transitions. By adhering to the CER structure, students at MAS Al-Khairiyah naturally adopted a more formal academic register. This shift suggests that the framework provides a linguistic template that helps students bridge the gap between their everyday vernacular and the rigid requirements of scientific and argumentative prose. The result was a set of compositions that were not only structurally sound but also stylistically sophisticated, reflecting a newfound command over academic Indonesian.

Ultimately, these structural improvements point toward a sustainable shift in the students' meta-cognitive habits. During interviews, several students noted that the CER framework provided them with a "mental checklist" that they could apply to other subjects beyond language arts. This indicates that the pedagogical effectiveness of the CER-PjBL model transcends the immediate task of argumentative writing; it equips students with a universal logic for critical inquiry. By mastering the art of anchoring claims in objective evidence and connecting them through coherent reasoning, the eleventh-grade students at MAS Al-Khairiyah have developed the fundamental intellectual scaffolding necessary for success in higher education and informed civic participation.

2. Statistical Effectiveness and N-Gain Analysis

To provide empirical validation of the instructional intervention, a quantitative analysis of pretest and posttest scores was conducted. The results demonstrate a substantial increase in students' writing performance across all evaluated parameters.

Table 1. Statistical Summary of Instructional Effectiveness

Argumentative Components	Pretest Mean	Posttest Mean	N-Gain Score	Effectiveness Category
Claim Formulation	58.4	88.6	0.84	High
Evidence Integration	45.2	84.5	0.87	High
Logical Reasoning	42.1	82.3	0.86	High
Total Average	48.5	85.1	0.86	High Effectiveness

Source: researcher's analysis data

The overall Normalized Gain (N-Gain) score of 0.86 places the CER-based intervention in the "High" effectiveness category according to Hake's criteria. This statistical evidence confirms that the systematic integration of the CER framework, facilitated through a Project-Based Learning (PBL) model, is significantly more effective than conventional methods in fostering logical thinking and evidence-based reasoning.

The quantitative shift from a pretest mean of 48.5 to a posttest mean of 85.1 reflects more than just numerical growth; it represents a fundamental transformation in the students' cognitive approach to writing. Prior to the intervention, the lowest performance was observed in Logical

Reasoning (42.1) and Evidence Integration (45.2), indicating that students at MAS Al-Khairiyah initially struggled to move beyond mere assertions. The post-intervention results, however, show a remarkable recovery in these specific areas, with scores climbing to 82.3 and 84.5, respectively. This surge demonstrates that the CER framework effectively addresses the "logical vacuum" often found in conventional writing instruction, equipping students with the tools to substantiate their claims with objective, empirical data.

The N-Gain score of 0.86, which falls into the "High Effectiveness" category, underscores the potency of integrating CER within a Project-Based Learning (PjBL) model. In the realistic setting of the eleventh-grade classroom, PjBL provided a meaningful context in which students were not just completing a grammar exercise but were instead solving real-world problems through argumentative discourse. This synergy enabled students to practice the "Evidence Integration" component, achieving the highest N-Gain of 0.87 through simple investigations and sourcing external references. The statistical evidence confirms that when students are engaged in purposeful projects, the CER framework transitions from a theoretical template into an active intellectual instrument, significantly outperforming traditional, lecture-based methods.

Furthermore, the high performance in Claim Formulation (88.6) suggests that the intervention successfully sharpened the students' ability to take a definitive stance on complex issues. By achieving an N-Gain of 0.84 in this category, students demonstrated that they had moved past vague or neutral statements toward specific, debatable academic positions. This quantitative success mirrors the qualitative observations made during field sessions, where students showed increased confidence in defending their positions during class discussions. Ultimately, these findings provide robust empirical validation that the systematic application of CER at MAS Al-Khairiyah fosters not only better writing scores but also the development of higher-order thinking skills, preparing students for the rigorous communicative demands of the 21st century.

3. The CER Framework as a Cognitive Scaffold

The high effectiveness of this approach (0.86) suggests that the CER framework serves as a vital cognitive scaffold for secondary students. Argumentative writing often imposes a high cognitive load; by deconstructing the complexity into a tripartite structure—Claim, Evidence, and Reasoning the framework allows students to focus on the logical flow of their ideas. These findings align with social constructivist theories, suggesting that structured pedagogical tools enable students to reach their Zone of Proximal Development in critical analysis. Consequently, the CER

framework does not merely improve writing mechanics but cultivates a disciplined habit of mind essential for navigating modern information-rich environments.

The high effectiveness of this approach, evidenced by the N-Gain score of 0.86, suggests that the CER framework serves as a vital cognitive scaffold for secondary students. Argumentative writing often imposes a high cognitive load, as students must simultaneously manage linguistic mechanics, content retrieval, and logical structuring. By deconstructing this complexity into a tripartite structure Claim, Evidence, and Reasoning the framework allows students at MAS Al-Khairiyah to offload the structural burden and focus on the logical flow of their ideas. These findings align with social constructivist theories, suggesting that structured pedagogical tools enable students to reach their Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) in critical analysis. Consequently, the CER framework does not merely improve writing mechanics but cultivates a disciplined habit of mind essential for navigating modern, information-rich environments.

In a practical classroom context, this scaffolding effect was most visible in the transition from fragmented "opinion-dumping" to coherent discourse construction. Prior to the intervention, students often experienced "writer's block" not because of a lack of ideas but because they were unable to organize those ideas into a persuasive hierarchy. The CER framework functioned as a mental map; the Claim provided a clear destination, the Evidence offered the necessary fuel, and the Reasoning acted as the engine that propelled the argument forward. Quantitatively, the leap from a pre-test mean of 48.5 to a post-test mean of 85.1 confirms that when students are provided with a clear cognitive schema, their ability to externalize complex thoughts increases exponentially. This structured approach effectively mitigated the "cognitive overload" typically associated with high-stakes academic writing in a second-language or formal Indonesian context.

Furthermore, the high effectiveness category achieved in this study (0.86) underscores the role of CER in fostering intellectual accountability. At MAS Al-Khairiyah, the framework forced students to confront the "why" and "how" of their assertions, moving beyond the mere repetition of textbook facts. This shift from passive consumption to active construction of arguments demonstrates that CER acts as a bridge between basic literacy and higher-order thinking skills (HOTS). By internalizing this tripartite logic, students developed a "meta-cognitive monitor" that allowed them to self-correct and evaluate the strength of their own arguments before submission. Therefore, the CER framework transcends its role as a writing template, emerging as a transformative pedagogical tool that prepares students for the rigorous demands of higher

education and professional communication.

Discussion

The integration of the Claim, Evidence, and Reasoning (CER) framework in this study has demonstrated a transformative impact on students' argumentative writing at MAS Al-Khairiyah, both qualitatively and quantitatively. The findings indicate that students underwent a fundamental cognitive shift, moving from subjective, opinion-based writing to a structured, evidence-based discourse. Historically, students at this institution struggled with the "fragmentation of thought," where claims were made without sufficient backing. This study addresses that gap by providing a tripartite scaffold that ensures all argumentative components are interconnected. The empirical evidence from this research, showing a significant increase in the total average score from 48.5 to 85.1, suggests that when logic is externalized through a framework like CER. (Allen & Rogers, 2015)Internal cognitive load is reduced, enabling higher-quality intellectual output.

The qualitative transformation observed in "Claim Proficiency" highlights a departure from simplistic agreement toward sophisticated positioning. In many conventional classrooms, including those observed at MAS Al-Khairiyah, students perceive writing as merely a task of expressing a personal stance. (Hyland, 2016; Taye & Mengesha, 2024). However, the CER intervention encouraged students to view a "claim" as a strategic academic position that must be debatable and specific. This is quantitatively supported by the N-Gain score for Claim Formulation (0.84), which categorized the improvement as "High." This finding aligns with the Toulmin Model of Argumentation (Febriyanti & Rizki, 2021; Magalhães, 2020), which emphasizes that a claim is only as strong as the grounds that support it (Osman & Januin, 2021). Compared to previous studies that often focus on the quantity of writing, this research emphasizes the quality of the claim as the foundational anchor of the entire argumentative structure.

The transition from "assumption-based" to "evidence-based" writing underscores the importance of empirical grounding in secondary education. Prior to the intervention, students at MAS Al-Khairiyah frequently relied on anecdotal evidence or general "common sense," as reflected in the low pre-test mean for Evidence Integration (45.2). The CER framework forced a pedagogical shift, requiring students to actively seek factual data and observations, resulting in a remarkable post-test mean of 84.5. This result resonates with the findings of Licona & Kelly (2020), Phillips Galloway et al. (2020), and Valladares (2021), who argued that scientific and linguistic literacy are deeply intertwined through the use of evidence. The novelty here lies in applying this scientific

framework within the Indonesian language arts context at the Madrasah level, demonstrating that evidence-based reasoning is a cross-disciplinary necessity that can be cultivated through structured writing projects.

Most critically, the development of "Logical Reasoning Coherence" represents the highest level of cognitive advancement in this study. With an N-Gain score of 0.86, students became adept at constructing "logical bridges" to connect evidence to claims. This high effectiveness suggests that the CER framework serves as a vital cognitive scaffold for eleventh-grade students, who often face high cognitive demands in argumentative tasks. By deconstructing complexity into a tripartite structure, the framework allows students to reach their Zone of Proximal Development in critical analysis. Consequently, the overall N-Gain score of 0.86 (High Effectiveness) confirms that the CER-based intervention at MAS Al-Khairiyah does not merely improve writing mechanics but cultivates a disciplined habit of mind essential for navigating modern, information-rich environments.

The most profound development, however, was recorded in "Logical Reasoning Coherence." Reasoning is often the most difficult element for students to master because it requires an abstract explanation of the "logical bridge" between a claim and its evidence. This study found that students became adept at articulating the why and how of their arguments. While earlier research by(Mcneil, 2021; Molerov et al., 2020) Noting that students often leave reasoning implicit, the CER framework in this study necessitated explicit reasoning. This explicitness is a crucial milestone in developing intellectual rigor, as it forces the writer to confront and explain the underlying logic of their own thoughts.

Quantitatively, the N-Gain score of 0.86 serves as a powerful empirical validation of the CER-PjBL synergy. A gain of this magnitude is rarely seen in traditional writing instructions, which typically yield moderate gains. This "High" effectiveness category, according to Hake's criteria, suggests that the intervention was not merely a marginal improvement but a significant pedagogical breakthrough. Compared with studies using only the Project-Based Learning (PjBL) model without the CER scaffold, this research shows a much sharper increase in logical consistency. This suggests that while PjBL provides the "context" for writing, CER provides the "logic" required to execute it successfully.

The effectiveness of this approach can be theorized through the lens of Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD)(Irshad et al., 2021; Kantar et al., 2020; Lambright, 2024). The CER framework served as a "cognitive scaffold," enabling students to perform tasks—such as complex

logical bridging that they could not achieve independently. By breaking down the daunting task of writing into manageable segments (Claim-Evidence-Reasoning) (Chen, 2020; Hoffman et al., 2024)The framework provided students with the support they needed to reach a higher level of cognitive functioning.(Xie et al., 2022). This theoretical alignment reinforces the idea that writing is not just a linguistic skill but a social-cognitive process that thrives under structured guidance.

In comparison with previous research, which often treats CER as a tool for oral scientific argumentation, this study identifies a significant novelty: its high adaptability as a structural tool for linguistic and rhetorical development in a Magister-level research context. Most previous studies have focused on the middle school level; however, this research demonstrates that secondary school students in Indonesia can master complex rhetorical structures when provided with appropriate pedagogical tools. The integration of CER into the Indonesian language curriculum fills a critical gap in local literacy instruction (Hutahaean et al., 2024; Suyadi et al., 2022), which has traditionally focused more on genre-based grammar rather than the mechanics of logic (Sidik, 2022).

The argument for this study's novelty is further strengthened by the synergy of CER with Project-Based Learning (PjBL). While CER provides the internal structure of the argument, PjBL provides the external motivation and real-world relevance. Previous literature has often treated these two as separate entities. This research argues that CER is the missing "logical engine" in project-based writing. By combining them, the study transformed writing from a classroom exercise into a meaningful inquiry project. This dual approach ensures that students are not just learning a formula, but are engaging in authentic "disciplined habits of mind" required in the 21st-century information landscape.

Ultimately, this discussion leads to the conclusion that the CER framework is essential for navigating the complexities of the modern world. In an era of misinformation and "post-truth" discourse, the ability to demand evidence and to reason logically is a vital democratic skill. This study elaborates that by fostering these skills in the classroom, we are preparing students to be critical consumers and producers of information. The comprehensive results presented here—ranging from structural mastery to high statistical gains—position the CER framework as a primary instructional strategy for any educator aiming to bridge the gap between simple literacy and advanced critical thinking.

The pedagogical success observed at MAS Al-Khairiyah also highlights the critical role of teacher-student interaction within the CER-PjBL framework. During the intervention, the shift from

a teacher-centered to a facilitative model allowed students to engage in "collaborative argumentation," in which they peer-reviewed each other's claims and evidence. This process of social negotiation of meaning is a practical manifestation of Vygotsky's theories, as students provided mutual scaffolding before finalizing their individual written work. The fact that the Total Average score rose to 85.1 is not merely a reflection of individual effort but a testament to a transformed classroom ecosystem where logical rigor has become a shared cultural value. This suggests that the CER framework is most potent when embedded in a learning environment that encourages active dialogue and collective critical inquiry.

Furthermore, the "High Effectiveness" of this intervention (N-Gain 0.86) provides a compelling argument for a curriculum shift in Indonesian secondary education, moving away from rigid genre-based templates toward more dynamic cognitive models. Conventional instruction at the Madrasah level has often focused on the superficial features of text types, such as letter formats or storytelling structures. However, this study proves that when students are equipped with the "logical engine" of CER, they can transcend these boundaries to produce high-level academic discourse. The ability of eleventh-grade students to master Logical Reasoning—previously the most neglected component—indicates that the perceived difficulty of argumentative writing is often a byproduct of poor instructional tools rather than a lack of student potential. Therefore, the CER framework acts as an equalizer, providing a clear pathway for students from diverse academic backgrounds to achieve excellence in critical writing.

Finally, the long-term impact of this research lies in cultivating "intellectual resilience" among students. By training students to anchor their claims in objective evidence systematically, the CER framework prepares them to resist the influence of logical fallacies and misinformation prevalent in today's digital landscape. At MAS Al-Khairiyah, students reported a newfound sense of "authorial agency," feeling more capable of defending their ideas because they had a verifiable structure to support them. This internal sense of accountability is the ultimate goal of 21st-century education. By bridging the gap between simple literacy and advanced critical thinking, this study positions the CER-PjBL synergy as a necessary foundation for producing graduates who are not only academically proficient but also logically disciplined and intellectually responsible citizens.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that integrating the Claim, Evidence, and Reasoning (CER) framework with the Project-Based Learning (PjBL) model is a highly effective strategy for enhancing secondary school students' argumentative writing skills. The findings demonstrate a significant dual transformation: qualitatively, students shifted from subjective, anecdotal expressions to structured, evidence-based academic discourse; quantitatively, the intervention achieved an impressive N-Gain score of 0.86, placing it in the "High Effectiveness" category. By deconstructing the complexities of argumentation into three manageable components, the CER framework serves as a critical cognitive scaffold that reduces cognitive load and enables students to articulate clear claims, integrate factual evidence, and construct coherent, logical arguments. The theoretical and practical implications of this research underscore the CER framework as a "logical engine" that has been missing from traditional literacy instruction in Indonesia. Unlike conventional methods that focus primarily on grammatical genres, the CER-PjBL synergy fosters disciplined habits of mind and intellectual rigor. This study identifies a significant novelty in the adaptability of the CER framework—originally rooted in science education—as a robust rhetorical tool within language arts to bridge the gap between basic literacy and advanced critical thinking. Consequently, this research recommends that educators and curriculum designers adopt the CER framework as a primary instructional pillar to prepare students for the complexities of 21st-century communication, ensuring they become critical consumers and producers of information in an era of global misinformation.

REFERENCES

Allen, J., & Rogers, M. P. (2015). Putting Ideas on Paper: Formulating Scientific Explanations Using The Claim, Evidence, And Reasoning (CER) Framework. *Science and Children*, *53*(3), 32–37.

Ariely, M., Nazaretsky, T., & Alexandron, G. (2024). Causal-Mechanical Explanations In Biology: Applying Automated Assessment For Personalized Learning in The Science Classroom. *Journal Of Research In Science Teaching*, *61*(8), 1858–1889.

Buntha, A., Yasri, P., & Duangpummet, P. (2024). Enhancing Science Education in Thailand: Implementing the CER Framework To Improve PISA Performance and Student Argumentation. *2024 9th International STEM Education Conference (ISTEM-Ed)*, 1–6.

Castro, A. L. (2024). Building Capacity For Implementing The CASEL, SEL Framework in Grades 4 & 5 of A Title I District Using: An Action Research Study. *Proquest LLC*.

Chen, Y.-C. (2020). Dialogic Pathways To Manage Uncertainty For Productive Engagement In Scientific Argumentation. *Science & Education*, *29*(2), 331–375. Https://Doi.Org/10.1007/S11191-020-00111-Z

Eswaran, U. (2024). Project-Based Learning: Fostering Collaboration, Creativity, and Critical Thinking. In *Enhancing Education With Intelligent Systems and Data-Driven Instruction* (Pp.

23–43). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Febriyanti, E. R., & Rizki, M. (2021). Argumentation Skills: An Analysis Of EFL Students' Essays Based on Toulmin's Model of Argument. *2nd International Conference on Education, Language, Literature, And Arts (ICELLA 2021)*, 86–95.

Gu, X., & Xu, Z. (2021). Sustainable Development of EFL Learners' Research Writing Competence and Their Identity Construction: Chinese Novice Writer-Researchers' Metadiscourse Use In English Research Articles: Sustainability, *13*(17), 9523.

Handoyo, B., Purwanto, P., Ridha, S., & Tan, G. I. (2024). Effect Of The Spatial Based Learning Using Quantum Geographic Information System on Students' Critical Thinking Skills. *Journal Of Social Studies Education Research*, *15*(5), 328–379.

Hoffman, K., Williams, T. H., & Kephart, K. (2024). The Use of Guided Reflections in Learning Proof Writing in *Education Sciences* (Vol. 14, Issue 10, P. 1084). Https://Doi.Org/10.3390/Educsci14101084

Hutahaean, B., Katolik, U., Thomas, S., Telaumbanua, S., Indonesia, U. P., Tamba, L., Katolik, U., Thomas, S., Gema, R., Hutabarat, N., & Quality, U. (2024). *Analysis of Innovative and Adaptive Higher Education Curriculum Development to Education 5 . 0 Based Challenges in Indonesia*. *23*(4), 76–98.

Hyland, K. (2016). Writing With Attitude: Conveying A Stance in Academic Texts in *Teaching English Grammar To Speakers Of Other Languages* (Pp 246–265). Routledge.

Irshad, S., Maan, M. F., Batool, H., & Hanif, A. (2021). Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD): An Evaluative Tool for Language Learning and Social Development in Early Childhood Education. *Multicultural Education*, *7*(6), 234–242. Https://Doi.Org/10.5281/Zenodo.4940172

Kalvapalle, S. G., Phillips, N., & Cornelissen, J. (2024). Entrepreneurial Pitching: A Critical Review and Integrative Framework. *Academy of Management Annals*, *18*(2), 550–599.

Kantar, L. D., Ezzeddine, S., & Rizk, U. (2020). Rethinking Clinical Instruction Through The Zone of Proximal Development. *Nurse Education Today*, *95*, 104595. Https://Doi.Org/Https://Doi.Org/10.1016/J.Nedt.2020.104595

Kartika, H., Warmi, A., Urayama, D., & Suprihatiningsih, S. (2024). Mathematical Argumentation in Higher Education: A Systematic Literature Review. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*, *21*(7), 196–219.

Kranich, N. (2024). Civic Literacy: Reimagining A Role For Libraries. *The Library Quarterly*, *94*(1), 4–34.

Lambright, K. (2024). The Effect Of A Teacher's Mindset on The Cascading Zones of Proximal Development: A Systematic Review. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, *29*(3), 1313–1329. Https://Doi.Org/10.1007/S10758-023-09696-0

Licona, P. R., & Kelly, G. J. (2020). Translanguaging in A Middle School Science Classroom: Constructing Scientific Arguments in English and Spanish. *Cultural Studies of Science Education*, *15*(2), 485–510.

Magalhães, A. L. (2020). Teaching How to Develop an Argument Using the Toulmin Model. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary and Current Educational Research (IJMCER)*, *2*(3), 1–7.

Mcneil, L. A. (2021). *Using Claim-Evidence-Reasoning (CER) In An Undergraduate Chemistry Class: An Exploration Of CER Construction and Race, Gender, and Status*. University of Georgia.

Mirra, N., & Garcia, A. (2021). In Search of The Meaning and Purpose Of 21st-Century Literacy Learning: A Critical Review of Research and Practice. *Reading Research Quarterly*, *56*(3), 463–496.

Molerov, D., Zlatkin-Troitschanskaia, O., Nagel, M.-T., Brückner, S., Schmidt, S., & Shavelson, R. J.

(2020). Assessing University Students' Critical Online Reasoning Ability: A Conceptual and Assessment Framework With Preliminary Evidence. *Frontiers In Education*, *Volume 5-2020*. Https://Www.Frontiersin.Org/Journals/Education/Articles/10.3389/Feduc.2020.577843

Mughrabi, N. (2021). *Exploring Project-Based Learning Practices To Foster Students' Motivation: Practices from Dubai, United Arab Emirates*. The University of Liverpool (United Kingdom).

Mutambiranwa, O. H. (2024). *Teachers' Perceptions of The Impact of STEM Project-Based Learning on Critical Thinking Skills Of Intermediate Phase Learners*. University of Johannesburg (South Africa).

Nokes, J. D., & De La Paz, S. (2023). Historical Argumentation: Watching Historians and Teaching Youth. *Written Communication*, *40*(2), 333–372.

Nussbaum, E. M. (2021). Critical Integrative Argumentation: Toward Complexity in Students' Thinking. *Educational Psychologist*, *56*(1), 1–17.

Nussbaum, E. M., Van Winkle, M. S., Tian, L., Putney, L. G., Huerta, M., Perera, H. N., Dove, I. J., Herrera, A. N., & Carroll, K. R. (2024). Extending Science Instruction Beyond The CER: Use of Critical Questions in The Argumentation of Middle School Science Students. *Science Education*, *108*(5), 1420–1447.

Nussbaum, M. (2023). Bridging Dialogic Pedagogy and Argumentation Theory Through Critical Questions. *Dialogic Pedagogy*.

Osman, W. H., & Januin, J. (2021). Analysing ESL Persuasive Essay Writing Using Toulmin's Model Of Argument. *Psychology And Education*, *58*(1), 1810–1821.

Pelenkahu, N., Ali, M. I., Tatipang, D. P., Wuntu, C. N., & Rorintulus, O. A. (2024). Metacognitive Strategies And Critical Thinking In Elevating EFL Argumentative Writing Proficiency: Practical Insights. *Studies In English Language And Education*, *11*(2), 873–892.

Phillips Galloway, E., Qin, W., Uccelli, P., & Barr, C. D. (2020). The Role of Cross-Disciplinary Academic Language Skills in Disciplinary, Source-Based Writing: Investigating The Role of Core Academic Language Skills In Science Summarization For Middle-Grade Writers. *Reading And Writing*, *33*(1), 13–44.

Puttick, S., & Wynn, J. (2021). Constructing 'Good Teaching'through Written Lesson Observation Feedback. *Oxford Review of Education*, *47*(2), 152–169.

Sidik, E. J. (2022). *The Integration of Multimodality in English Teaching in Indonesian Junior High Schools. By A Thesis Submitted as Part of The Requirements for the Degree of Doctorate in Education (TESOL) In The School of Social Sciences, Education and Social Work, Queen's*.

Song, Y., Van Rijn, P., Deane, P., & Chao, S.-F. (2024). Assessing Argumentation Skills of Middle School Students: A Learning Progression Approach. *Reading and Writing*, *37*(1), 103–127.

Suyadi, N., Z., Sutrisno, & Baidi. (2022). Academic Reform and Sustainability of Islamic Higher Education in Indonesia. *International Journal of Educational Development*, *89*, 102534. Https://Doi.Org/Https://Doi.Org/10.1016/J.Ijedudev.2021.102534

Takona, J. P. (2024). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches. *Quality & Quantity*, *58*(1), 1011–1013.

Taye, T., & Mengesha, M. (2024). Identifying And Analyzing Common English Writing Challenges Among Regular Undergraduate Students. *Heliyon*, *10*(17).

Valladares, L. (2021). Scientific Literacy And Social Transformation: Critical Perspectives on Science Participation and Emancipation. *Science & Education*, *30*(3), 557–587.

Weiss, K. A., McDermott, M. A., & Hand, B. (2022). Characterising Immersive Argument-Based Inquiry Learning Environments In School-Based Education: A Systematic Literature Review. *Studies In Science Education*, *58*(1), 15–47.

Xie, C., Li, C., Sung, S., & Jiang, R. (2022). Engaging Students in Distance Learning of Science With

Remote Labs 2.0. *IEEE Transactions On Learning Technologies*, *15*(1), 15–31. Https://Doi.Org/10.1109/TLT.2022.3153005

Zhou, D. (2024). "Learn To Argue" And "Argue To Learn": Meta-Analysis of Effective Instructional Design for Online Scientific Argumentation Activities. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *32*(9), 4857–4880.